arXiv:1305.0511v1 [math.AP] 2 May 2013

A NOTE ON LOCAL WELL-POSEDNESS OF GENERALIZED KDV TYPE EQUATIONS WITH DISSIPATIVE PERTURBATIONS

XAVIER CARVAJAL, MAHENDRA PANTHEE

Abstract. In this note we report local well-posedness results for the initial value problems (IVPs) associated to generalized KdV type equations with dissipative perturbation for given data in the low regularity L^2-based Sobolev spaces. The method of proof is based on the *contraction mapping principle* employed in some appropriate time weighted spaces.

1. Introduction

In this brief note we are interested in reporting well-posedness results for the initial value problems (IVPs) associated to generalized Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) type equations with dissipative perturbations, viz.,

$$\begin{cases} v_t + v_{xxx} + \eta L v + (v^{k+1})_x = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},\ t \geq 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{R},\ k > 0, \\ v(x,0) = v_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} u_t + u_{xxx} + \eta L u + (u_x)^{k+1} = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, t \geq 0, \quad k \in \mathbb{R},\ k > 0, \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where $\eta > 0$ is a constant; $u = u(x,t)$, $v = v(x,t)$ are real valued functions and the linear operator L is defined via the Fourier transform by $\widehat{Lf}(\xi) = -\Phi(\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi)$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35A01, 35Q53.

Key words and phrases. Initial value problem; well-posedness; KdV equation, dispersive-dissipative models.

This work was partially supported by FAPESP, Brazil.

The Fourier symbol $\Phi(\xi)$ is real valued function that is bounded from above (see Lemma 2.3 below) and is of the form

$$\Phi(\xi) = -|\xi|^p + \Phi_1(\xi), \tag{1.3}$$

where $p \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $|\Phi_1(\xi)| \le C(1+|\xi|^q)$ with $0 \le q < p$.

Before stating the main result of this work, we introduce some spaces and notations. As usual, for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the L^2-based Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ are defined by

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}) := \{f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}) : \|f\|_{H^s} < \infty\},$$

where

$$\|f\|_{H^s} := \|\langle\xi\rangle^s \widehat{f}\|_{L^2_\xi},$$

with $\langle\cdot\rangle = 1 + |\cdot|$, and $\widehat{f}(\xi)$ is the usual Fourier transform given by

$$\widehat{f}(\xi) \equiv \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ix\xi} f(x)\, dx.$$

The factor $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ in the definition of the Fourier transform does not alter our analysis, so we will omit it.

The notion of well-posedness we use is the standard one. We say that an IVP for given data in a Banach space X is locally well-posed, if there exists a certain time interval $[0,T]$ and a unique solution depending continuously upon the initial data and the solution satisfies the persistence property; i.e., the solution describes a continuous curve in X in the time interval $[0,T]$. If the above properties are true for any time interval, we say that the IVP is globally well-posed and if any one of the above properties fails to hold we say that the IVP is ill-posed.

To obtain well-posedness result to the IVPs (1.1) and (1.2) for given data in the low regularity Sobolev spaces $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ we introduce two spaces that are suitable to handle the models in question.

Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $k > 0$, $0 < t \leq T \leq 1$, $p > \frac{3}{2}k + 1$ and $\gamma_k := \dfrac{3k+2}{2(k+1)}$. To deal with the IVP (1.1), we define

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^s} := \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \Big\{ \|f(t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})} + t^{\frac{\gamma_k}{p}} \Big(\|f(t)\|_{L_x^{2(k+1)}} + \|\partial_x f(t)\|_{L_x^{2(k+1)}} + \|D_x^s \partial_x f(t)\|_{L_x^{2(k+1)}} \Big) \Big\}, \tag{1.4}$$

and introduce a Banach space

$$\mathcal{X}_T^s := \big\{ f \in C([0,T]; H^s(\mathbb{R})) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^s} < \infty \big\}. \tag{1.5}$$

To deal with the IVP (1.2), we define

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T^s} := \sup_{t\in[0,T]} \Big\{ \|f(t)\|_{H^s} + t^{\frac{\gamma_k}{p}} \Big(\|\partial_x f(t)\|_{L^{2(k+1)}} + \|D_x^s \partial_x f(t)\|_{L^{2(k+1)}} \Big) \Big\}, \tag{1.6}$$

and introduce a next Banach space

$$\mathcal{Y}_T^s := \big\{ f \in C([0,T]; H^s(\mathbb{R})) : \|f\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T^s} < \infty \big\}. \tag{1.7}$$

Motivation behind the definition of these sort of spaces is the work of Dix [14]. In our recent work [6], similar spaces are used to get sharp local well-posedness results for KdV type equations.

Now, we state the main results of this work.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\eta > 0$ be fixed, $k > 0$ and $\Phi(\xi)$ be as given by (1.3) with $p \geq \frac{3}{2}k + 1$ as the order of the leading term, then the IVP (1.1) is locally well-posed for any data $v_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, whenever $s > -1$. Moreover, the map $v_0 \mapsto v(t)$ is smooth from $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ to $C([0,T]; H^s(\mathbb{R})) \cap \mathcal{X}_T^s$.*

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\eta > 0$ be fixed, $k > 0$ and $\Phi(\xi)$ be as given by (1.3) with $p \geq \frac{3}{2}k + 1$ as the order of the leading term, then the IVP (1.2) is locally well-posed for any data $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$, whenever $s > 0$. Moreover, the map $u_0 \mapsto u(t)$ is smooth from $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ to $C([0,T]; H^s(\mathbb{R})) \cap \mathcal{Y}_T^s$.*

Remark 1.3. *The results in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 improve the known well-posedness results for the IVPs (1.1) and (1.2) proved in [7]. However, in view of our recent work [6],* *we believe that there is still room to get better results than the ones presented here. Due to the presence of higher order nonlinearity, the time weighted spaces introduced in* [6] *are not good enough in this case. So, one expects to introduce some new sort of spaces to cater higher order nonlinearity. Currently, we are working in this direction.*

A detailed explanation about the particular examples that belong to the class considered in (1.1) and (1.2) and the known well-posedness results about them are presented in our earlier works [6, 7]. For the sake of completeness, we reproduce explanation from [6, 7] as follows.

The first examples belonging to the classes (1.1) and (1.2) are

$$\begin{cases} v_t + v_{xxx} - \eta(\mathcal{H}v_x + \mathcal{H}v_{xxx}) + (v^{k+1})_x = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},\ t \geq 0,\ k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \\ v(x,0) = v_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{1.8}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} u_t + u_{xxx} - \eta(\mathcal{H}u_x + \mathcal{H}u_{xxx}) + (u_x)^{k+1} = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},\ t \geq 0,\ k \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{1.9}$$

respectively, where $\mathcal{H}$ denotes the Hilbert transform defined via the Fourier transform $\widehat{\mathcal{H}g}(\xi) = -i\,\mathrm{sgn}(\xi)\,\widehat{g}(\xi)$, $u = u(x,t)$, $v = v(x,t)$ are real-valued functions and $\eta > 0$ is a constant.

The equation in (1.8) with $k = 1$ was derived by Ostrovsky et. al. [20] to describe the radiational instability of long waves in a stratified shear flow. Carvajal and Scialom [9] considered the IVP (1.8) and proved the local well-posedness results for given data in H^s, $s \geq 0$ when $k = 1, 2, 3$. They also obtained an *a priori* estimate for given data in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ there by proving global well-posedness result. These results are further improved in our recent work [7] (see also [8]) where we proved that the IVPs (1.1) and (1.2) (with $k = 1$) for given data in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ are locally well-posed whenever $s > -\frac{3}{4}$ and $s > \frac{1}{4}$ respectively. The IVPs (1.1) and (1.2) with $k = 2, 3, 4$ were also considered in [7] to get local well-posedness results respectively for $s > \frac{1}{4}, -\frac{1}{6}, 0$ and $s > \frac{5}{4}, \frac{5}{6}, 1$. To obtain these results we followed the techniques developed by Bourgain [5] and Kenig, Ponce and Vega [16] (see also [23]).

The main ingredients in the proof are estimates of the integral equation associated to an extended IVP that is defined for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. The principal tool in [7] and [8] is the use of the usual Bourgain space associated to the KdV equation instead of that associated to the linear part of the IVPs (1.1) and (1.2). The earlier well-posedness results for (1.8) can be found in [1], where for given data in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, local well-posedness when $s > 1/2$ and global well-posedness when $s \geq 1$ have been proved. In [1], IVP (1.9) is also considered to prove global well-posedness for given data in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s \geq 1$. The best local well-posedness result for the IVP (1.8) with $k = 1$ was obtained by Zhao and Cui [25], in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s > -1$. For, modified KdV equation with dissipative perturbation of degree $0 < p \leq 3$, we refer to the work of Chen et. al. in [12] (see also [11] for $p = 2$), where the authors prove local well-posedness for $s > -\frac{1}{4} - \frac{p}{4}$ using Fourier transform restriction norm spaces on the basis of the $[k; Z]$-multiplier norm estimate obtained by Tao [23]. For the well-posedness issues in the periodic setting we refer to [10] where the authors prove that the IVP (1.1) is locally well-posed in $H^s(\mathbb{T})$, $s \geq -\frac{1}{2}$.

Another two models that fit in the classes (1.2) and (1.1) respectively are the Korteweg-de Vries-Kuramoto Sivashinsky (KdV-KS) equation

$$\begin{cases} u_t + u_{xxx} + \eta(u_{xx} + u_{xxxx}) + (u_x)^2 = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},\ t \geq 0, \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{1.10}$$

and its derivative equation

$$\begin{cases} v_t + v_{xxx} + \eta(v_{xx} + v_{xxxx}) + vv_x = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},\ t \geq 0, \\ v(x,0) = v_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{1.11}$$

where $u = u(x,t)$, $v = v(x,t)$ are real-valued functions and $\eta > 0$ is a constant.

The KdV-KS equation arises as a model for long waves in a viscous fluid flowing down an inclined plane and also describes drift waves in a plasma (see [13, 24]). The KdV-KS equation is very interesting in the sense that it combines the dispersive characteristics of the Korteweg-de Vries equation and dissipative characteristics of the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation. Also, it is worth noticing that (1.11) is a particular case of the Benney-Lin equation [2, 24]; i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} v_t + v_{xxx} + \eta(v_{xx} + v_{xxxx}) + \beta v_{xxxxx} + vv_x = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},\ t \geq 0, \\ v(x,0) = v_0(x), \end{cases} \tag{1.12}$$

when $\beta = 0$.

The IVPs (1.10) and (1.11) were studied by Biagioni, Bona, Iorio and Scialom [3]. The authors in [3] proved that the IVPs (1.10) and (1.11) are locally well-posed for given data in H^s, $s \geq 1$ with $\eta > 0$. They also constructed appropriate *a priori* estimates and used them to prove global well-posedness too. The limiting behavior of solutions as the dissipation tends to zero (i.e., $\eta \to 0$) has also been studied in [3]. Recently work of Molinet [17] treats this type of limit in low regularity Sobolev spaces. More precisely, the author in [17], studied the limiting behavior of the solutions to the Benjamin-Ono-Burguers equation

$$u_t + \mathcal{H}u_{xx} - \epsilon u_{xx} - uu_x = 0,$$

as the positive coefficient $\epsilon \to 0$. He was able to prove that the solutions to this equation converge in $C([0,T]; H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}))$ towards the solutions of the Benjamin-Ono equation for any fixed $T > 0$.

The IVP (1.12) associated to the Benney-Lin equation is also widely studied in the literature [2, 4, 24]. Regarding well-posedness issues for the IVP (1.12) the work of Biagioni and Linares [4] is worth mentioning, where they proved global well-posedness for given data in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. For the sharp well-posedness result for the KdV-KS equation we refer to the recent work of Pilod in [21] where the author proved local well-posedness in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ for $s > -1$ and ill-posedness for $s < -1$.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we prove some linear and nonlinear estimates. Section 3 is dedicated to prove the main results.

2. Basic estimates

In this section we derive some linear and nonlinear estimates that will be used to prove main results of this work. Consider the IVP associated to the linear parts of (1.1) and

(1.2),

$$\begin{cases} w_t + w_{xxx} + \eta L w = 0, \quad x,\ t \geq 0, \\ w(0) = w_0. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

The solution to (2.1) is given by $w(x,t) = V(t)w_0(x)$ where the semi-group $V(t)$ is defined as

$$\widehat{V(t)w_0}(\xi) = e^{it\xi^3 + \eta t\Phi(\xi)}\widehat{w_0}(\xi). \tag{2.2}$$

In what follows, we prove some estimates satisfied by the group defined in (2.2). We start with the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1 (Hausdorff-Young). *Let* $p_1 \geq 2$ *and* $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{q_1} = 1$. *Then*

$$\|f\|_{L^{p_1}} \leq C\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^{q_1}}. \tag{2.3}$$

Lemma 2.2. *There exists* $M > 0$ *large such that for all* $|\xi| \geq M$, *one has that*

$$\Phi(\xi) = -|\xi|^p + \Phi_1(\xi) < -1, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\frac{|\Phi_1(\xi)|}{|\xi|^p} \leq \frac{1}{2}, \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$|\Phi(\xi)| \geq \frac{|\xi|^p}{2}. \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. The inequalities (2.4) and (2.5) are direct consequences of

$$\lim_{\xi\to\infty} \frac{\Phi_1(\xi)+1}{|\xi|^p} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\xi\to\infty} \frac{|\Phi_1(\xi)|}{|\xi|^p} = 0,$$

respectively.

The estimate (2.6) follows from (2.4) and (2.5). In fact, for $|\xi| > M$

$$|\Phi(\xi)| = |\xi|^p - \Phi_1(\xi) \geq \frac{|\xi|^p}{2}, \tag{2.7}$$

and this concludes the proof of the (2.6). □

Lemma 2.3. *The Fourier symbol* $\Phi(\xi)$ *given by* (1.3) *is bounded from above and the following estimate holds true*

$$\|e^{t\Phi(\xi)}\|_{L^\infty} \leq e^{TC_M}. \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.2, there is $M > 1$ large enough such that for $|\xi| \geq M$ one has $\Phi(\xi) < -1$. Consequently, $e^{t\Phi(\xi)} \leq e^{-t} \leq 1$. Now for $|\xi| < M$, it is easy to get $\Phi(\xi) < C_M$, so that $e^{t\Phi(\xi)} \leq e^{TC_M}$. Therefore, in any case the estimate (2.8) holds true. $\square$

Lemma 2.4. *Let* $0 < t \leq T \leq 1$, $k > 0$ *and* $p > \frac{3}{2}k + 1$, *we have*

$$t^{\frac{\gamma_k}{p}} \|\partial_x V(t)w_0\|_{L^{2(k+1)}} \leq C\|w_0\|_{L^2} \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$t^{\frac{\gamma_k}{p}} \|V(t)w_0\|_{L^{2(k+1)}} \leq C\|w_0\|_{L^2}. \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. By Hausdorff-Young and Hölder inequalities, we obtain

$$\|\partial_x V(t)w_0\|_{L^{2(k+1)}} \leq C\|e^{t\Phi(\xi)}\xi\widehat{w_0}\|_{L^{\frac{2(k+1)}{2k+1}}} \leq C\|e^{t\Phi(\xi)}\xi\|_{L^{\frac{2(k+1)}{k}}} \|\widehat{w_0}\|_{L^2}. \tag{2.11}$$

Let M as in Lemma 2.2, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi e^{t\Phi(\xi)}\|_{L^{\frac{2(k+1)}{k}}} &\leq \|\xi e^{t\Phi(\xi)}\chi_{\{|\xi|\leq M\}}\|_{L^{\frac{2(k+1)}{k}}} + \|\xi e^{t\Phi(\xi)}\chi_{\{|\xi|> M\}}\|_{L^{\frac{2(k+1)}{k}}} \\ &\leq C_M + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\xi|^{\frac{2(k+1)}{k}} e^{-t(\frac{k+1}{k})|\xi|^p} d\xi\right)^{\frac{k}{2(k+1)}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Using a change of variable $t^{-1/p}\xi := x$, we get

$$\|\xi e^{t\Phi(\xi)}\|_{L^{\frac{2(k+1)}{k}}} \leq C_M + \frac{1}{t^{\frac{\gamma_k}{p}}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^{\frac{2(k+1)}{k}} e^{-(\frac{k+1}{k})|x|^p} dx\right)^{\frac{k}{2(k+1)}}. \tag{2.13}$$

Since the integral in (2.13) is finite, inserting (2.13) in (2.11) and multiplying by $t^{\frac{\gamma_k}{p}}$ we get the required estimate (2.9). The proof of estimate (2.10) is very similar to that of (2.9), so we omit the details. $\square$

Lemma 2.5. *Let* $V(t)$ *be as defined in* (2.2), $0 < t \leq T \leq 1$, $k > 0$ *and* $p > \frac{3}{2}k + 1$ *then for all* $s \in \mathbb{R}$, *we have*

$$\|V(t)w_0\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^s} \leq C\|w_0\|_{H^s} \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$\|V(t)w_0\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T^s} \leq C\|w_0\|_{H^s}. \tag{2.15}$$

Proof. We give a detailed proof for (2.14), the proof of (2.15) follows similarly. We start with the first component of $\mathcal{X}_T^s$-norm. We have that

$$\|V(t)w_0\|_{H^s} = \|\langle\xi\rangle^s e^{t\Phi(\xi)}\widehat{w_0}(\xi)\|_{L^2} \leq \|e^{t\Phi(\xi)}\|_{L^\infty}\|w_0\|_{H^s}. \tag{2.16}$$

Using (2.8) in (2.16), we get

$$\|V(t)w_0\|_{H^s} \leq e^{TC_M}\|w_0\|_{H^s}. \tag{2.17}$$

For the next component of the $\mathcal{X}_T^s$-norm, we use (2.9) from Lemma 2.4, to obtain

$$t^{\frac{\gamma_k}{p}}\|D_x^s\partial_x V(t)w_0\|_{L^{2(k+1)}} = t^{\frac{\gamma_k}{p}}\|\partial_x V(t)(D_x^s w_0)\|_{L^{2(k+1)}} \leq C\|D_x^s w_0\|_{L^2}. \tag{2.18}$$

From (2.10) in Lemma 2.4, we have

$$t^{\frac{\gamma_k}{p}}\|V(t)w_0\|_{L^{2(k+1)}} \leq C\|w_0\|_{L^2}. \tag{2.19}$$

Combining (2.17), (2.18) and (2.19) we get the required estimate (2.14). □

Lemma 2.6. *Let $V(t)$ be as defined in (2.2), $0 < t \leq T \leq 1$, $k > 0$ and $p > \frac{3}{2}k + 1$, then the following estimates hold true.*

$$\Big\|\int_0^t V(t-\tau)\partial_x(v^{k+1})(\tau)d\tau\Big\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^s} \leq T^{\omega_k}\|v\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^s}^{k+1}, \quad \forall\, s \geq -1, \tag{2.20}$$

and

$$\Big\|\int_0^t V(t-\tau)(\partial_x u)^{k+1}(\tau)d\tau\Big\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T^s} \leq T^{\omega_k}\|u\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T^s}^{k+1} \quad \forall\, s \geq 0, \tag{2.21}$$

where $\omega_k = \frac{2p-3k-2}{2p} > 0$.

Proof. By using (2.14) from Lemma 2.5, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\|\int_0^t V(t-\tau)\partial_x(v^{k+1})(\tau)d\tau\Big\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^s} &\leq \int_0^t \|\partial_x(v^{k+1})(\tau)d\tau\|_{H^s}\\ &\leq C\int_0^T \left(\|\partial_x(v^{k+1})\|_{L^2} + \|D_x^s\partial_x(v^{k+1})\|_{L^2}\right)d\tau\\ &\leq C\int_0^T \|\partial_x(v^{k+1})\|_{L^2}d\tau + \int_0^T \|D_x^{\tilde{s}}(v^{k+1})\|_{L^2}d\tau\\ &=: I_1 + I_2,\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

where $\tilde{s} = 1 + s$. In what follows, we will obtain an estimate for I_2. Now, using fractional chain rule (see Tao [22] (A.15) page 338), for $\tilde{s} \geq 0$, i.e., for $s \geq -1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &\leq C \int_0^T \|v^k\|_{L^{\frac{2(k+1)}{k}}} \|D_x^{\tilde{s}} v\|_{L^{2(k+1)}} d\tau \\
&\leq C \, \|v\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^s}^{k+1} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{k\gamma_k}{p}}} \frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{\gamma_k}{p}}} d\tau \\
&\leq C \, \|v\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^s}^{k+1} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{3k+2}{2p}}} d\tau \\
&\leq C_{p,k} \, \|v\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^s}^{k+1} T^{\omega_k}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

The estimate for I_1 will follow from that of I_2 considering $s = 0$. In fact,

$$I_1 \leq C \int_0^T \|v^k\|_{L^{\frac{2(k+1)}{k}}} \|\partial_x v\|_{L^{2(k+1)}} d\tau \leq C \, \|v\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^s}^{k+1} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{3k+2}{2p}}} d\tau \leq C_{p,k} \, \|v\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^s}^{k+1} T^{\omega_k}. \tag{2.24}$$

Inserting estimates (2.23) and (2.24) in (2.22) we obtain the required estimate (2.20).

Now, we move to prove the estimate (2.21). By using (2.15) from Lemma 2.5 and fractional chain rule as in (2.23), for $s \geq 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Big\| \int_0^t V(t-\tau)(\partial_x u)^{k+1}(\tau) d\tau \Big\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T^s} &\leq C \int_0^T \left(\|(u_x)^{k+1}\|_{L^2} + \|D_x^s (u_x)^{k+1}\|_{L^2} \right) d\tau \\
&\leq C \int_0^T \Big(\|u_x\|_{L^{2(k+1)}}^{k+1} + \|(u_x)^k\|_{L^{\frac{2(k+1)}{k}}} \|D_x^s (u_x)\|_{L^{2(k+1)}} \Big) d\tau \\
&\leq C \, \|u\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T^s}^{k+1} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{(k+1)\gamma_k}{p}}} d\tau + C \, \|u\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T^s}^{k+1} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{k\gamma_k}{p}}} \frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{\gamma_k}{p}}} d\tau \\
&\leq C \, \|u\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T^s}^{k+1} \int_0^T \frac{1}{\tau^{\frac{3k+2}{2p}}} d\tau \\
&\leq C_{p,k} \, \|u\|_{\mathcal{Y}_T^s}^{k+1} T^{\omega_k},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

as required. □

3. Proof of the main results

Having the linear and nonlinear estimates at hand from previous section, now we provide proof of the main results of this work.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Now consider the IVP (1.1) in its equivalent integral form

$$v(t) = V(t)v_0 - \int_0^t V(t-\tau)\partial_x(v^{k+1})(\tau)d\tau, \tag{3.1}$$

where $V(t)$ is the semi-group associated with the linear part given by (2.2).

We define an application

$$\Psi(v)(t) = V(t)u_0 - \int_0^t V(t-\tau)\partial_x(v^{k+1})(\tau)d\tau. \tag{3.2}$$

For $s > -1$, $r > 0$ and $0 < T \leq 1$, let us define a ball

$$B_r^T = \{f \in Y_T^s;\ \|f\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^s} \leq r\}.$$

We will prove that there exists $r > 0$ and $0 < T \leq 1$ such that the application Ψ maps B_r^T into B_r^T and is a contraction. Let $v \in B_r^T$. By using the estimate (2.14) from Lemma 2.5 and the estimate (2.20) from Lemma 2.6, we get

$$\|\Psi(v)\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^s} \leq C\|v_0\|_{H^s} + C_{p,k}T^{\omega_k}\|v\|_{\mathcal{X}_T^s}^{k+1}. \tag{3.3}$$

where $\omega_k = \frac{2p-3k-2}{2p} > 0$.

Now, using the definition of B_r^T, one obtains

$$\|\Psi(v)\|_{X_T^s} \leq \frac{r}{4} + cT^{\omega_k}r^{k+1} \leq \frac{r}{2}, \tag{3.4}$$

where we have chosen $r = 4c\|v_0\|_{H^s}$ and $cT^{\omega_k}r^k = 1/4$. Therefore, from (3.4) we see that the application Ψ maps B_r^T into itself. A similar argument proves that Ψ is a contraction. Hence Ψ has a fixed point v which is a solution of the IVP (1.1) such that $v \in C([0,T], H^s(\mathbb{R}))$. The rest of the proof follows from standard argument, see for example [15]. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Proof of this theorem is very similar to that of Theorem 1.1. In this case, we use the estimate (2.15) from Lemma 2.5 and estimate (2.21) from Lemma 2.6 to perform the contraction mapping argument. So, we omit the details. $\square$

Acknowledgment: This research was carried out while X. Carvajal was visiting the IMECC, State University of Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil and he wishes to thank the support received during the visit.

References

[1] B. Alvarez; *The Cauchy problem for a nonlocal perturbation of the KdV equation*, Differential Integral Equations **16 10** (2003) 1249–1280.

[2] D. J. Benney; *Long waves on liquids films*, J. Math. Phys. **45** (1996) 150–155.

[3] H. A. Biagioni, J. L. Bona, R. J. Iório, M. Scialom; *On the Korteweg-de Vries-Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation.* Adv. Differential Equations, **1** (1996), 1–20.

[4] H. A. Biagioni, F. Linares; *On the Benney-Lin and Kawahara Equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **211** (1997) 131–152.

[5] J. Bourgain; *Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations. II. The KdV-equation*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **3** (1993) 209–262.

[6] X. Carvajal, M. Panthee; *Sharp local well-posedness of KdV type equations with dissipative perturbations*, arXiv:1304.6640v1 (2013).

[7] X. Carvajal, M. Panthee; *Well-posedness of KdV type equations*, Electron. J. Differential Equations, (2012), No. 40, 1-15.

[8] X. Carvajal, M. Panthee; *Well-posedness for for some perturbations of the KdV equation with low regularity data*, Electron. J. Differential Equations, (2008), No. 02, 1-18.

[9] X. Carvajal, M. Scialom; *On the well-posedness for the generalized Ostrovsky, Stepanyams and Tsimring equation*, Nonlinear Anal. **62** 2 (2005), 1277–1287.

[10] X. Carvajal, R. Pastran; *Well-posedness for a Family of Perturbations of the KdV Equation in Periodic Sobolev Spaces of Negative Order*, to appear in Commun. Contemp. Math. DOI: 10.1142/S0219199713500053

[11] W. Chen, J. Li; *On the low regularity of the modified Korteweg-de Vries equation with a dissipative term*, J. Diff. Equations **240** (2007) 125–144.

[12] W. Chen, J. Li, and C. Miao; *On the well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for dissipative modified Korteweg-de Vries equations*, Diff. Int. Equations **20** (2007), 1285–1301.

[13] B. I. Cohen, J. A. Krommes, W. M. Tang, M. N. Rosenbluth; *Non-linear saturation of the dissipative trapped-ion mode by mode coupling*, Nuclear Fusion, **16 9** (1976) 971–992.

[14] D. B. Dix; *Nonuniqueness and uniqueness in the initial value problem for Burgers' equation*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **27** (1996) 708–724.

[15] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega; *Well-posedness and scattering results for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation via the contraction principle*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **46** **4** (1993) 527–620.

[16] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce, L. Vega; *A bilinear estimate with applications to the KdV equation*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** **2** (1996) 573–603.

[17] L. Molinet; *A note on the inviscid limit of the Benjamin-Ono-Burgers equation in the energy space*, arXiv:1110.2352v1, (2011) 1–7.

[18] L. Molinet, F. Ribaud; *On the low regularity of the Korteweg-de Vries-Burgers Equation*, Int. Math. Research Notices, **37** (2002) 1979–2005.

[19] L. Molinet, F. Ribaud, A Youssfi; *Ill-posedness issue for a class of parabolic equations*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh, **132 A** (2002) 1407–1416.

[20] L. A. Ostrovsky, Yu. A. Stepanyants, L. Sh. Tsimring; *Radiation instability in a stratified shear flow*, Int. J. Non-Linear Mech. **19** (1984) 151–161.

[21] D. Pilod; *Sharp well-posedness results for the Kuramoto-Velarde equation*, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **7** (2008), 867–881.

[22] T. Tao; *Nonlinear Dispersive Equations, Local and Global Analysis*, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **106** AMS, Providence, RI, 2006.

[23] T. Tao; *Multilinear weighted convolution of L^2 functions, and applications to nonlinear dispersive equations*, American J. of Math, **123** (2001) 839–908.

[24] J. Topper, T. Kawahara; *Approximate equations for long nonlinear waves on a viscous fluid*, J. Phys. Soc. Japan, **44** (1978) 663–666.

[25] X. Zhao, S. Cui; *Local well-posedness of the Ostrovsky Stepanyams and Tsimring equation in Sobolev spaces of negative indices*, Nonlinear Analysis, **70** (2009) 3483–3501.

Xavier Carvajal

Instituto de Matemática - UFRJ Av. Horácio Macedo, Centro de Tecnologia Cidade Universitária, Ilha do Fundão, Caixa Postal 68530 21941-972 Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brasil

E-mail address: `carvajal@im.ufrj.br`

Mahendra Panthee

IMECC, UNICAMP 13083-859, Campinas, SP, Brazil

E-mail address: `mpanthee@ime.unicamp.br`